G-8932 © GDO-EB

EXHIBIT EXHIBIT

EVALUATION OF SUPPORT STAFF MEMBERS

(Performance Rating Report)

Employ	ee			AAANU(A	Department
Supervisor					Date of rating
	Rating tanding			actory	3 = improvement needed 4 = unsatisfactory
1	2 3	4	RA	TING	G FACTORS:
□ require	□ ments	. Co			1. Quality of work: The ability to produce work that satisfies or surpasses job racy, completeness, thoroughness, neatness of work.
				2.	Quantity of work: Volume or amount of work done.
□ fundan	□ nental		□ matio	□ n skil	3. Knowledge: Knowledge of methods, materials, objectives, and other ls.
☐ detail,	□ com-p		□ nd diff		Adaptability: Ability to learn, perform under changes and in emergencies, graspees between important and trivial.
				5.	Work habits: Organization of work, care of equip-ment, safety, industry.
□ with th	□ e stud	□ ents a	and of		Working relationship with students and other employees: Ability to get along mployees.
□ withou	□ t close	□ supe			7. Dependability: Degree to which employee can be relied upon to do the job unctuality, attendance on the job.
<i>1</i> □ sugges	2 3 tions,		ty to the		8. Attitude: Interest in work, willingness to meet job requirements and accept ganization, ethical conduct.
				9.	Personal fitness: Physical capacity, appearance, personal habits.
				10.	Judgment: Soundness of decisions, common sense.
				OVE	ERALL RATING (average): Should reflect the above ratings.

Number of days absent for any reason
Comments:
1. What are the employee's strengths? ("Outstanding" ratings must be ex-plained in this space.)
2. What are the employee's weaknesses? ("Unsatisfactory" and "Improve-ment Needed" must be explained in this space.)
3. General comments:
I have discussed this performance rating report with the evaluator.
Employee's signature Date
Evaluator's signature Date

G-8931 © GDO-EA

EXHIBIT EXHIBIT

EVALUATION OF SUPPORT STAFF MEMBERS

Definition of Rating Scale Terms

1 = Outstanding

- Performance exceeds the requirements of the position.
- Displays high degree of self-motivation.
- Consistently generates output of superior quality.

2 = Satisfactory

- Performance is adequate for the requirement of the position; meets expectations of the job.
- Self-motivation confined to accomplishing assigned tasks.
- Generates output of acceptable quality.

3 = Improvement needed

- Performance is not of the quality expected of the position; frequently falls short of job expectations.
- Frequently lacks motivation to complete assigned tasks.
- Frequently generates output of poor quality.

4 = Unsatisfactory

- Performance is definitely inadequate for the position; consistently falls short of job expectations.
- Lacks self-motivation.
- Consistently generates output of inferior quality.

G-8900 © GDO EVALUATION OF SUPPORT STAFF MEMBERS

All support personnel shall be evaluated by the appropriate supervisor or administrator. A written evaluation of effectiveness of each support staff member shall be completed during the first year of employment. At least once each year thereafter, an evaluation will be conducted. The evaluation will be used to increase job proficiency and for recommending continued employment.

Adopted: date of manual adoption